Machine translation in the European Commission

Josep Bonet LangTech 2008 Rome, 28/02/2008



Stating the obvious

DGT means DG for Translation

Machine translation is about Translation

Therefore DGT is concerned with machine translation

Eh... Maybe, but not sure



The plan

The infancy (how it all started)

The first maturity (translators get focus)

The middle age crisis (I don't get no satisfaction)

The second maturity (will this time be for real?)



How it all started

When? In the mid-70s

By whom? DG XIII, Telecommunications, Information Market, and Exploitation of Research

Why? To overcome language barriers

Why? To support the development of enabling technologies

What? Rule-based system for EN=>FR and FR=>EN



How it all started (cont'd)

Initial systems improved

Dictionaries enlarged and completed

Rules added and improved

New systems: German, Spanish, Italian, etc.

Joint venture DG XIII - SdT



The second way

Eurotra

Big research project 🔊

Many teams in most of Member States &

Innovative approach 🕸

Too heavily dependent on computing power 🦃

No working MT system delivered \P

Big boost to language industries in Europe &



The plan

The infancy (how it all started)

The first maturity (translators get focus)

The middle age crisis (I don't get no satisfaction)

The second maturity (will this time be for real?)



Translators get focus

(< 1990) Translator equals monk or if I had a dictaphone

Terminology and documentation go digital

Typing kind of goes digital

Translators scribble or dictate

Translation is an art and the translator the artist



(> 1990) PCs become pervasive

Translation is (almost) fully electronic

Tools for translators get the focus

Art versus consistency. A new view on quality

Time is gold. And gold matters



New orientation in the '90s

EC to finance research projects, not to develop

Help the industry become strong

Stress on pre-competitive research

End-user products out of scope



(Mid-'90s) Development of MT transferred to DGT

Development of further language pairs

Improvement of dictionaries and lexical routines

Total number of pairs available: 26

English and French as source or target language

Coupled (to and fro) with DE, IT, ES, NL, PT, EL, SV, DA



Varying quality

From rather acceptable

To rather unacceptable (prototype)

For best output, less important text, easier input and more committed community:

MT with light and quick post-processing

Keep you eyes on the client

Tam-tam and Tchernobyl



How do you get MT in the EC?

- 1) E-mail > phased out
- 2) Euramis: integrator of language services
- 3) Web interface
- 4) Automated pre-processing

Methods 2 and 4 only for translators

Methods 1 and 3 also for all EC staff



The plan

The infancy (how it all started)

The first maturity (translators get focus)

The middle age crisis (I don't get no satisfaction)

The second maturity (will this time be for real?)



I don't get no satisfaction

Creating ex nihilo or re-using?

The green era: recycle rules

Europe enlarges, so what?

23 languages > great impact

Redefinition of translation business

Expandability of systems

The corpus is king

TM won the battle against MT



I do get satisfaction, by the way

There is life beyond translation

Who are the big users of MT in EC?

Are they satisfied?



The plan

The infancy (how it all started)

The first maturity (translators get focus)

The middle age crisis (I don't get no satisfaction)

The second maturity (will this time be for real?)



Will this time be for real?

Fully Automatic High Quality Translation is out

Fully Automated Usable Translation is in

Corpus-based developments and global markets

Quality is in the eye of the reader

The user finally gets the focus



Caring about the user

Translator: prefers quality, even if incomplete

End-user: requests all the information, even if little readable

Don't overlook tolerance thresholds

Language is extremely personal

It involves deep feelings: sensitive issue

MT should be seen as an added service, not a denial of service



Let's start wrapping up

Availability of big corpora has boosted developments

DGT has released its corpus of aligned EU legislation in force in 22 languages

Exponential growth of demand for quick and cheap translation

User satisfaction with MT solutions

Resignation? Rather imperfect than non existing

The case of Microsoft's Knowledge Base



Let's start wrapping up

Concentration on major languages

Most European languages have few speakers

MT remains mostly intelligence-related



Thank you

